







🔊 - C 🗙 🏠 ष	http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/themes/best-practice/evidence/universal-prevention	Scogle				
EMCDDA   Best practice portal: Ex		an				
for Drugs and Drug Ad		Search Advanced search				
Drug situation   Themes   Responses to drug use   Drug policy and law   Publications   Press room   News   About EMCDDA						
:MCDDA > Responses to drug t	ise > Best practice portal > Evidence of efficacy > Universal prevention					
lesponses to drug use	Evidence of efficacy: universal prevention	Related links				
Best practice portal		References				
Evidence of efficacy	Introduction School Community Family Mass media campaigns Methodology	Prevention responses in th EU				
Universal prevention		How to implement				
Selective prevention	What evidence on the efficacy of universal prevention is presented here?	prevention programmes?				
Pharmacological treatment	The evidence summarised here is based on the following four reviews of reviews published from 2000 onwards, as well as two systematic reviews of the Cochrane Collaboration:	Prevention Evaluation Resource Kit (PERK) Examples of evaluated				
Psychological treatment References	Buehler, A. & Kroeger C. (2006), 'Report on the prevention of substance abuse', Federal Centre for Health Education BZgA.	practices (EDDRA)				
Tools for evaluation	McGrath,Y. et al. (2006), 'Drug use prevention among young people: a review of reviews', National Institute					
Standards and guidelines Examples: EDDRA	for Health and Clinical Excellence.  Canning, U. et al. (2004), 'Drug use prevention among young people: a review of reviews', Health					
	Development Agency.					
tesponses areas Prevention	Hawks, D. et al. (2002), 'A selected review of what works in the area of prevention', World Health					
Frevention	Organisation.					
Harm reduction	Fagglano, F. et al. (2005), 'School based prevention for illicit drugs (review)', The Cochrane Collaboration.					
Prevention of drug-related	<ul> <li>Gates, S. et al. (2006), "Interventions for prevention of drug use among young people delivered in non-school settings", Cochrane Collaboration.</li> </ul>					
crime Drug supply reduction	The summary of findings are presented according to different types of settings:					
Data collection tools	School					
Evaluation instruments bank	Community					
(EIB)	E Family					
	Media					
	To ensure transparency, we have kept references made in the reviews to originally included reviews/studies that formed part of the evidence base. In addition, a methodological overview is presented for each review.					
	For more detailed information about the summary of findings across the reviews on school-, family- and community-based prevention, please refer to the detailed overviews available in pdf format in each respective section. These also include full references to all papers included in each of the reviews. Original reports of each					
	review of reviews can also be accessed on the methodology page. The original reports of the systematic reviews by Faggiano, F. et al. (2005) and Gates et al. (2006) are published by the Cochrane Collaboration.					
	Putting evidence on efficacy into context					
	This overview only aims to present the evidence of efficacy of universal prevention programmes.					

🌀 > - C 🔀 🏠 👔 http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/themes/best-practice/evidence/selective-provention/summary 🛛 🗋 🏠 - 🔛 😫 - Google							
MCDDA   Best practice portal: Evide *							
FEMCDDA > Responses to drug use > Best practice portal > Evidence of efficacy > Selective prevention > Summary of findings							
esponses to drug use	Evidence of efficacy: selective prevention	Related links References					
est practice portal	Introduction Summary of findings Methodology	Prevention responses in th					
Universal prevention		EU How to implement					
Selective prevention	Selective prevention – summary of findings	prevention programmes?					
Pharmacological treatment Psychological treatment References	The following summary of findings is based on four reviews of reviews published in 2000 or later. Buehler and Kroeger (2006), McCrah et al. (2006), Canning et al. (2004) and NICE (2007). Due to the scarcity of information on the efficacy of selective prevention, secondary level and some primary level research published since 2003 not included in the above were also taken into consideration.	Prevention Evaluation Resource Kit (PERK) Examples of evaluated practices (EDDRA)					
ols for evaluation andards and guidelines	What works in selective prevention?						
xamples: EDDRA sponses areas	There is some evidence to suggest that school prevention programmes targeting at-risk students are more effective than those that target general student populations. Gottmeson and Wilson (2000) in: McGrath et al. (2006)	Evidence from real lif studies:					
revention reatment arm reduction revention of drug-related imme rug supply reduction at a collection tools valuation instruments bank IED	<ul> <li>Selective addiction-prevention measures have preventive effects on consumption behaviour if they are implemented as school-based social skills programmes, methoding programmes on the skills programmes.         <ul> <li>Gottreeton and Wilson (2003), Woki, and Stabi, (2001), Catalano et al. (1998), Mowbray and Oyserman.</li> <li>(2003) In: Buenkir and Krouper (2006), Rev and Becker (2005)</li> <li>Cognitive behaviour programmes seem to offer the greater chance of success.</li> <li>Gottreeton and Wilson (2003) in: Modirah et al. (2004)</li> <li>Programmes that surphrase team-building, interpresonal delivery methods and introspective learning             </li> <li>Springer and Sale (2004)</li> <li>Programmes that here into account individual needs             </li> </ul> </li> <li>Programmes that here into account individual needs         <ul> <li>Worlde and Winder (1990).</li> <li>Control Winder (2004).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	School Youth Project) (reland). Offers an integratic community response for children at risk of early school-leaving between the ages of 0 and 14, who reside in the parish, which reside in the parish, which the mashes consciously an actively in their own development of society. Wwy out: early intervention					
	<ul> <li>Identification and recruitment of young people in a sensitive manner which avoids 'labelling' that can lead to stigmatisation.</li> <li>Susaman et al (2004)</li> <li>Interventions are effective when they address motivation, skills, and decision-making as well as erroneous normative beliefs.</li> <li>Susaman et al (2004)</li> <li>From small well-controlled trials, there is evidence of efficacy that family home visitation is a feasible strategy to implement with idiadvantage families and can reduce risk factors for early developmental deficits and thereby improve childhood development outcomes.</li> <li>Tournboout et al (2007)</li> <li>Some of the strongest evidence for efficacy in reducing developmental pathways to drug-related harm comes from interventions delivered through the early school years to improve educational environments</li> </ul>	Very full: call y min without of months of (Nutritia). The project Way out offers counselling and care facility for young people-individual and groups. Structured support is offered over a period of approximately of months with the aim of encouraging abstiment drugs, controlled behaviour concerning legal substance.					
	and reduce social exclusion Tourbooruse if at (2007) For vulnerable and disadvantaged children and for young people aged 11–16 years and assessed to be at high risk of substance misuse. offer a family-based programmed of structured support over two or more years, drawn up with the parents or cares of the child or young person and led by staff competent in this	Streetwork Mobile Youth Work: "Rumtrieb" Wiener Neustatt (Austria): Rumtrie is an outreach project that aims to prevent the development of problematic					

🗩 - C 🗙 🗄 🖪	http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/themes/best-practice/examples	S ~ - 1	Sa canala
	Google		
EMCDDA   Evaluated examples of European Monitoring ( for Drugs and Drug Ac	en Search Advanced search		
-	sponses to drug use Drug policy and law Publications Press room News Abour use > Best practice portal > Examples	t EMCDDA	
Responses to drug use Best practice portal Evidence of efficacy Universal prevention	Velocine to the Exchange on Drug Demand Reduction Action (EDDRA), which provides details on a treatment and harm reduction interventions, as well as interventions within the criminal justice system	vide range of evaluated prevention, 1. More about EDDRA	Related links EDDRA quality levels EDDRA resources Evaluation Instruments Bank (EIB)
Selective prevention Pharmacological treatment Payschological treatment References Standards and guidelines Examples: EDDRA Responses areas Prevention Treatment Hamm reduction Prevention of dug-related crime Ourg supply reduction Data collection tools Evaluation instruments bank (EIE)	Fund projects by characteristics         By type of intervention         Provention         environmental stategy, universal, selective, indicated         Treatment         drug fire tearment, pharmacologically assisted treatment, withdrawal treatment         Social teintegration         education, employment, housing         Harm reduction         moticronous in the criminal justice system         mestatence to drug users in prison, alternatives to prison         Other criterial         By target group (universal) general population, childrahydrog people, adults, family/parents         By target group (universal) general population, childrahydrog, gender, telephone help-line, mass media campaign, peet, community involvement, training for problesionials, networking, or help:         By target of evaluation         motess evaluation, outcome evaluation         Drocess evaluation, outcome evaluation         Cost beforess are targeted as section subdance for conteast to the majority of projects within charped projects, etailored in the section subdance for conteast to the majority of projects within contendences, peerific interventions         Some televences are targeted as sections advalation is a section subdance for conteast to the majory of sections	Search the database CK Field with this Belgium Drach Republic Dermark Germany Gene	Analysise that cordsn's 10 evaluation instrumets in the treatment field and 70 m the partnershift nodes Cordsn's definitions for the terms used within ECGRA

260 – 91 – 57 - 32	Total	Level I	Level II	Level III
Universal prevention	171	161	4	6 (3,5%)
Selective prevention	53	42	8	3 (6%)
Indicated prevention	13	6	2	5 ( <mark>38</mark> %)
Environmental p.	9	8	1	-
Drug free treatment	72	62	8	2
Pharm. assist.	43	33	9	1
Prevention infect dis	48	43	5	-
Drug consump rooms	4	3	1	-
Overdose prevention	10	8	2	-
Criminal justice	32	31	1	-







































































































