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## Ice Breaking Exercise

## Alcohol

## Exercise - alcohol use

- How many people in Croatia drink?
- Street survey - ask 50 people
- 30 people say they drink (60\%)
- What would happen if 500 people were asked?
- Survey carried out at night in the centre of Zagreb does that matter?
- What does 'drink alcohol' mean?


## Exercise - alcohol use

- Case definition
- Drink, drink alcohol
- Lifetime, last year, last month
- Recommended units, binge drinking
- Frequency
- Under-age drinking


## Exercise - alcohol use

- Representative sample
- Zagreb, Croatia
- Age, gender, ethnic group
- Employed / unemployed
- 'Hard to reach groups'
- Prisoners, homeless


## Exercise - alcohol use

- Sample size
- Should not affect the prevalence rate
- Can improve the reliability of the estimate


## General principle

- Drug use is largely a hidden activity
- Information can be obtained from a sample of the population
- This information can be extrapolated to provide information on the entire population


## British Crime Survey



## British Crime Survey

- $0.1 \%$ of the population used heroin in last year (aged 15 to 59)
- Population of England
- 31,000,000 (aged 15 to 59)
- 31,000 people in England have used heroin in previous year


## British Crime Survey

- Was the sample representative?
- Were respondents 'honest'
- What would the confidence interval be?


## Indirect Methods

- Multiplier methods
- Capture-recapture methods
- Multiple indicator methods
- Truncated Poisson


## Multiplier Methods

- Information can be obtained from a sample of drug users
- Contact with treatment services
- Mortality
- This information can be extrapolated to provide information on all drug users


## Multiplier Methods (2)

- Benchmark
- Number of drug users in treatment
- Number of drug-related deaths
- Published mortality statistics
- Multiplier
- In-treatment rate
- Mortality rate
- Anecdotal evidence (between 1\% and 2\%)
- Specific studies


## Drug related death data

## Source: Health Statistics Quarterly

Number of deaths from drug related poisoning


2,762 deaths in 2005

## Drug related death data

## Source: Health Statistics Quarterly

## Cause of death (males)


$\square$ Mental and behavioural disorders
$\square$ Accidental poisoning
$\square$ Intentional self poisoning
$\square$ Assault

## Drug related death data

## Source: Health Statistics Quarterly

## Cause of death (females)


$\square$ Mental and behavioural disorders
$\square$ Accidental poisoning
$\square$ Intentional self-poisoning
$\square$ Assault

## Drug related death data

## Source: Health Statistics Quarterly

## Drugs mentioned on death certificates


$\square$ Heroin
$\square$ Methadone

- Cocaine
$\square$ Amphetamines
- Benzodiazepines
$\square$ Anti-depressants
- Paracetamol
$\square$ Other drugs


## Drug related death data

 Source: Health Statistics Quarterly

1,506 deaths in 2005

## Exercise

## Mortality Multipliers

## Two sample capture-recapture method

- Simple concept:
- Only a certain proportion of drug users are in contact with treatment agencies
- Examine the overlap between those in treatment and a second sample (e.g. Police)
- Find the proportion in treatment
- Thus estimate the total number of drug users


## Two sample capture-recapture method



## Two sample capture-recapture method

- Animal populations
- Capture a sample of fish
- Mark them
- Release them
- Recapture a sample at a later date
- Look for marks
- Estimate population size


## Example - fish

- Unknown number of fish in a lake


## Example - fish

- Unknown number of fish in a lake
- Catch a sample and mark them


## Example - fish

- Unknown number of
 fish in a lake
- Catch a sample and mark them
- Let them loose


## Example - fish

- Unknown number of
 fish in a lake
- Catch a sample and mark them
- Let them loose
- Recapture a sample and look for marks


## Estimate population size

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\mathrm{n}_{1}=\text { number in first sample } & 15 \\
\mathrm{n}_{2}=\text { number in second sample } & 10 \\
\mathrm{n}_{12}=\text { number in both samples } & 5 \\
\mathrm{~N}=\text { total population size } &
\end{array}
$$

assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{n}_{1} / \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}_{12} / \mathrm{n}_{2} \quad \text { therefore } \quad 15 / \mathrm{N} & =5 / 10 \\
\mathrm{~N} & =(10 \times 15) / 5=30
\end{aligned}
$$

## Two sample capture-recapture (drug use)

- Drug users
- Identify two samples
- Treatment agencies
- Police
- Find overlap
- Estimate population size


## Drug use example

Treatment
GPs (family doctors) HIV Test Data

Police

695
148
46
76

## Computer-based exercise

## Find overlap between Treatment and Police Samples

## Overlap between Police and treatment



## Main assumption

- Samples are independent
- Police do not stand outside agency arresting people
- Participation in treatment does not reduce the need to commit crimes
- Samples are often not independent
- Can use a third samples to correct for lack of independence or account for any relationships


## Three-sample method

- Statistical analysis
- Computer package (e.g. SPSS)
- Log-linear models
- Explain relationship between sources
- Estimate the size of the hidden population
- Estimate the total population size


## Three-sample overlaps Venn Diagram



Hidden Population

## Three-sample overlaps Contingency table

|  |  | Source 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Present |  |  | Source 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Present | Absent | Present | Absent |  |
| Source 3 | Present | $a$ | $b$ | $e$ | $f$ |  |
|  | Absent | $c$ | $d$ | $g$ | $x$ |  |

## Three-sample overlaps Data table

| Source 1 | Source 2 | Source 3 | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $a$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $c$ |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $b$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $d$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $e$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $g$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $f$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $x$ |

# Computer-based exercise 

Find overlap pattern between Treatment, Police and GP data sources



## Contingency table

|  |  | Treatment |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Present |  |  | Absent |  |
|  |  | GPs |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Present | Absent | Present | Absent |  |
| Police | Present | 6 | 15 | 4 | 51 |  |
|  | Absent | 62 | 612 | 76 | - |  |

## Data table

| Treatment | GPs | Police | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 62 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 612 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 76 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 51 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | - |

## Linear Regression (review)

- What is regression?
- What is a dependent variable?
- What are explanatory variables?


## Prevalence v Treatment



X

$$
y=a x+c
$$

## Linear Regression (examples)

$$
y=a x+c
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+c \\
& y=a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+a_{3} x_{3}+c
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Regression (example)



- How much does advertising costs, number of shops and number of vouchers account for the variation in sales?


# Worked Example 

Linear regression Sales of mugs

## Linear Regression (issues)

- Model Fitting
- Goodness of fit
- Predicted value
- Confidence interval


## Linear Regression

| Model | Sales $=-29.43+0.42($ shops $)+2.54$ (vouch) +1.02 (ads) |
| :--- | :---: |
| R Square | 0.626 |
| Predicted Value | 15 |
| Confidence Interval | -4 to 33 |

## Log-linear Regression

- Equation for linear regression

$$
y=a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+a_{3} x_{3}+c
$$

- Equation for log-linear regression (independence model)

$$
\log (y)=\log \left(x_{1}\right)+\log \left(x_{2}\right)+\log \left(x_{3}\right)+\log (c)
$$

# Computer-based exercise 

Fit the independence model to the three sample data

## Log-linear Regression

- How realistic is it to assume all sources are independent?
- Possible interactions
- How many interactions are there when there are three sources?
- FLIPCHART


## Log-linear Regression

## Models:

- constant+p1+p2+p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p2*p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p1*p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p2*p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p3+p2*p3
- constant+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p1*p3+p2*p3


# Computer-based exercise 

## Fit the other models to the three sample data

## 3-sample capture-recapture results

| Model | Est | Lower | Upper | Deviance | df |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Const+p1+p2+p3 | 921 | 699 | 1214 | 13.78 | 3 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2 | 1530 | 943 | 2482 | 6.91 | 2 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p3 | 716 | 514 | 996 | 6.52 | 2 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p2*p3 | 966 | 726 | 1286 | 11.72 | 2 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p1*p3 | 969 | 342 | 2748 | 6.12 | 1 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p2*p3 | 2081 | 1164 | 3721 | 0.85 | 1 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p3+p2*p3 | 750 | 531 | 1059 | 5.39 | 1 |
| Const+p1+p2+p3+p1*p2+p1*p3 <br> $+p 2^{*} p 3$ | 3598 | 912 | 14201 | 0.00 | 0 |

## Log-linear Regression

- What's the best estimate?
- Deviance / likelihood ratio
- degrees of freedom
- Confidence intervals
- Credibility
- Population is closed
- Perfect matching
- Data sources should be representative
- Everyone has the same chance of appearing in any individual data source
- Presence in one source does not influence presence in another
- Can be relaxed with log-linear models
- Multivariate Indicator Method / Multiple Indicator Method
- Regression
- Linear regression
- Model selection
- 33 Drug Action Team (DAT) areas
- 2004/05 data
- 27 capture-recapture estimates
- 6 DAT areas where the capture-recapture analysis was not 'good enough'
- Need to 'extrapolate' to get estimates for those areas


## London CRC Estimates



## London DAT estimates

## rates per 1,000



## London DAT estimates



## Extrapolation (regression)

prevalence = constant

$$
y=c
$$

## Prevalence v Treatment



## Extrapolation (regression)

prevalence = constant

$$
y=c
$$

prevalence $=$ constant $\times$ treatment

$$
y=a x
$$

# Computer-based exercise 

What would be a 'treatment' multiplier for London

## Prevalence v Treatment



## Prevalence v Treatment



## Prevalence v Treatment



# Computer-based exercise 

## What would be a simple regression model for London

## Extrapolation (regression)

prevalence = constant

$$
y=c
$$

prevalence $=$ constant $\times$ treatment

$$
y=a x \longleftarrow \text { treatment multiplier }
$$



- How many indicators to put into model
- All of them?
- All that initially seem sensible?
- Only those that are statistically significant?
- Data reduction
- Small number of anchor points
- Principal component analysis
- Reduces many indicators into 1 or 2 factors


## Truncated Poisson

- Can be used with data from only one source
- Needle exchange visits
- Count how many people have visited
- Once
- Twice
- Count the total number of people
- Can estimate the number of people who have visited zero time = hidden population



## Truncated Poisson

$$
\operatorname{est}(n)=S /\left[1-\exp \left(-2 f_{2} / f_{1}\right)\right]
$$

Where
$f_{1}=$ number of people attending only once
$f_{2}=$ number of people attending twice
$S=$ total number of people attending

- Introduction
- Two sample capture-recapture analysis
- Using Excel to find overlap patterns
- Three sample capture-recapture analysis
- Multiple Indicator Method
- Truncated Poisson method


## Comments? Questions?

g.hay@ljmu.ac.uk

